	Case 2:07-cv-01143-RAJ Docui	ment 34	Filed 03/21/08	Page 1 of 2	
01	1				
02)2				
03)3				
04	04				
05	05				
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
08	LARRY GENE HEGGEM,) CAS	E NO. C07-1143-	-RAJ-MAT	
09	Plaintiff,)			
10	0 v.	,	ER DENYING P		
11	1 HELENE C. BLUME, et al.,	,	OND MOTION FOINTMENT OF (
12	2 Defendants.)			
13	3	_)			
14	The matter before the Court is Plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel in this				
15	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Having reviewed the motion, and the balance of the record,				
16	the Court does hereby find and ORDER:				
17	(1) Plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. #33) is DENIED. On				
18	September 11, 2007, in an Order denying his previous motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt.				
19	#16), Plaintiff was advised there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42				
20	U.S.C. § 1983. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. §1915 (e)(1), can request counsel to				
21	represent a party proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court may do so only in exceptional				
22	circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F	circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Aldabe v. Aldabe,			
	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -1				

616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Wilborn*, 789 F.2d at 1331.

Although Plaintiff alleges in his current motion that he is housed in the Monroe Correctional Center's segregation facility where he has no access to a law library and he has limited knowledge of the law, he still fails to demonstrate a likelihood of success on the merits or that he is unable to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of issues involved. Thus, Plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case involves exceptional circumstances that warrant appointment of counsel at the present time.

(2) The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, to counsel for Defendants, and to the Honorable Richard A. Jones.

DATED this 21st day of March, 2008.

Morry Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -2